DIVERSITY is an integral part of our daily lives. We are diverse in our behavior, looks, cultural and social backgrounds, beliefs, religious affiliations, political opinions, and more. While diversity can be beneficial to human interaction, it can also be irritating and cause anxiety among humans. This is attributed to the fact that diversity implies different norms, cultures, and knowledge paradigms. This dilemma of diversity requires a cautious treatment of diversity in technological applications.

The main aim of Wenet is to provide a diversity-aware, machine-mediated paradigm for social interactions. This raises various questions:

- What understanding of diversity do we rely on to build the WeNet technology?
- How can we guarantee that this new diversity-aware technology is non-discriminatory and ethical?

In our deliverable 9.1, we are concerned with the ethical side and the notion of diversity in the Wenet project. We shed light on diversity as a normative idea to ensure an inclusive diversity-aware technology.

What is the scope of diversity in Wenet?

In WeNet, we focus in several pilots on the university settings in which students are diversely represented and social interaction is based on cultural, ethnic, gender, religions and ability differences but also diversity in academic and leisure activities, disciplines, interests, career hopes and expectations, as well as lifestyles.

How do we understand diversity in Wenet?

DIVERSITY is seen as challenging in various fields. Also in Wenet, the operationalization of the concept is seen as ambivalent. In WeNet, we focus on the positive side of diversity but also recognize that diversity has to be aligned to benefit users. WeNet sees diversity as an opportunity and a tool to improve social interaction among people. This perception implies that diversity can be used to achieve a “good” outcome, for instance increased productivity or well-being of students. Diversity-aware technology can also highlight non-discrimination. This aspect touches on the intrinsic value of diversity, where diversity can be considered a value standing on its own and important for human autonomy and dignity. When modelling diversity, both aspects have to be considered carefully.
MODELLING DIVERSITY

Measuring and programming diversity requires a model of diversity. In WeNet, we thus understand diversity in terms of the social practices of people.

Social practices are routines, which are continuously enacted by large parts of society, and which consist of several interconnected elements (materiality, meaning, competence) that can be measured.

In WeNet, we use the social practices approach for various reasons:

- it is a flexible analytical model that looks beyond simple and one-sided explanations for action such as emotional or rational, conscious or unconscious
- it focuses on social relations rather than social categories. In other words, we are not classifying people based on ascriptions like gender, ethnicity, religious affiliation or economic class but rather focusing on human interaction
- it focuses on the relationship between individual behavior and societal norms, social contexts, and power relations

However, the social practices model also has some constraints:

- the social practices approach focuses on mainstream and “normal” standard actions that reflect the majority of society but rather ignores actions deviating from the normal
- it is descriptive rather than normatively reflected; it only describes what people do and does not evaluate whether this behavior is morally acceptable

What are ETHICAL CHALLENGES when modelling diversity after social practices?

Imagine this: A student is interested to meet another student to run a marathon or for jogging. The app will match both persons based on the predetermined data inserted by both of them. Data collection is the most significant part in this case as it determines people’s interests and preferences. The app will match those who have indicated in their profiles that they have running shoes, are muscular and have run for a marathon before.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Competence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Running shoes, other equipment, muscles, street, field road, treadmill</td>
<td>health, fitness, stress reduction, competition and recognition, and body image</td>
<td>knowledge of potential injuries, motivate oneself, endurance, and finding one’s way to the gym or track</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
However, another person might be mismatched as, for instance, this person always participates in barefoot marathons, and therefore, did not indicate that s/he has running shoes. Here comes the dilemma; diversity has not been observed in this case. The social norm is that people who run always have running shoes. As a result, the less common, deviating from the “normal” social practice (those who participate in barefoot marathons) have been ignored due to lack of data and hence were subject to exclusion.

WeNet Technology
(matching users according to their social practices)

REQUEST: “I want to run my first marathon and heard that running a marathon requires significant mental strength. How can I best prepare for that?”

⇒ the technology might infer from this request that it must look for “runners” to match the person

Request

Thomas (profile)
has two pairs of running shoes and a professional runner’s watch, considers himself very muscular, runs on the treadmill twice a week to keep his body in shape, and has participated in two 10k runs organized by his university in the past 12 months

Selina (profile)
goes on a 5k morning run every weekday, runs barefoot along the river near her university, considers herself fairly muscular, runs primarily for fun and because she loves to be outdoors, participated in the barefoot marathon in New York last year

In this example, we can see that it is important to apply both an instrumental and intrinsic understanding of diversity. This means that diversity is valued because we believe in the inclusion of all people, including those who deviate from the norm by running barefoot. Diversity-aware technology should focus on both diversity as a tool and diversity as an ethical key to avoid exclusion or even unfair discrimination.

Accordingly, two significant points can be inferred from our considerations.

⇒ we should assess what questions are asked and how will they be framed to collect the data measuring people’s interests and preferences. For ethical and diversity reasons, we should also observe the diverse and “atypical” practices.

⇒ an accurate assessment of how algorithms would operate on the data and how, accordingly, they will match people should be implemented to avoid discriminatory effects of the application.

Such measures serve the principal target of WeNet, which is creating a diversity-aware, inclusive, non-discriminatory machine paradigm (algorithm) to reach the best human experience through social interaction.